## School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2022/23 s.y. <br> School-based Grant - Programme Report

## Name of School: Christian \& Missionary Alliance Sun Kei Secondary School <br> Project Coordinator: Ms Chan Chor Yan

Contact Telephone No.: $\underline{2191-6022}$
A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is $\mathbf{3 5}$.
(including A. 6 CSSA recipients, B. 18 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 11 under school's discretionary quota)
B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

| *Name / Type of activity | Actual no. of participating eligible students \# |  |  | Average attendance rate | Period/Date activity held | Actual expenses <br> (\$) | Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaires, etc) | Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable) | Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tutorial service and languages training | 1 | 2 | 0 | 100 \% | 09/2022-08/2023 | 1,197 | Attendance record |  |  |
| Visits and art /culture activities | 5 | 12 | 9 | 100 \% | 09/2022-08/2023 | 29,690 | Attendance record |  |  |
| Sports | 5 | 17 | 7 | 100 \% | 09/2022-08/2023 | 25,450 | Attendance record |  |  |
| Communication skills and leadership training courses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 \% | 09/2022-08/2023 | 0 | Attendance record |  |  |
| Total no. of activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| @No. of man-times | 11 | 31 | 16 |  | Total Expenses | 56,337 |  |  |  |
| **Total no. of man-times | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.
@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.
** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times $(\mathrm{A})+(\mathrm{B})+(\mathrm{C})$


## C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students:

| Please put a " $\checkmark$ " against the most appropriate box. | Improved |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Declining | Not Applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Significant | Moderate | Slight |  |  |  |
| Learning Effectiveness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Students' motivation for learning | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) Students' study skills |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| c) Students' academic achievement |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| d) Students' learning experience outside <br> d) classroom | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Your overall view on students' learning <br> e) effectiveness | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal and Social Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f) Students' self-esteem |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| g) Students' self-management skills |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| h) Students' social skills |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| i) Students' interpersonal skills |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| j) Students' cooperativeness with others |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| k) Students' attitudes toward schooling |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Students' outlook on life |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| m) Your overall view on students' personal and social development |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Community Involvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| o) Students' sense of belonging |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| p) Students’ understanding on the <br> p) community |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| q) Your overall view on students' community involvement |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

## D. Comments on the project conducted

## Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project

(You may tick more than one box)unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);difficult to decide on the $10 \%$ discretionary quota;eligible students unwilling to join the programmes;the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
$\square$ Others (Please specify):

## E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

