School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2024/25 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Appendix III

Name of School: Christian & Missionary Alliance Sun Kei Secondary School

Project Coordinator: Mr. Liu Chi Yung Contact Telephone No.: 2191-6022

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is 31.

(including A. 3 CSSA recipients, B. 17 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 12 under school's discretionary quota)

B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

*Name / Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaires, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)	
	A	В	С							
Tutorial service and languages training	1	8	7	100 %	09/2024 - 08/2025	18,435.60	Attendance record			
Visits and art /culture activities	2	6	3	100 %	09/2024 - 08/2025	15,300	Attendance record			
Sports	4	15	4	100 %	09/2024 - 08/2025	29580	Attendance record			
Communication skills and leadership training courses	0	1	1	100 %	09/2024 - 08/2025	598	Attendance record			
Total no. of activities: 4										
@No. of man-times	7	30	15		Total European	(2.012.60				
**Total no. of man-times	52			Total Expenses	63,913.60					

Note:

^{*} Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

[@] Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students:

	Please put a "√" against the most		Improved		No	Declining	Not Applicable
	appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change		
Le	arning Effectiveness				l		
a)	Students' motivation for learning	✓					
b)	Students' study skills		✓				
c)	Students' academic achievement		✓				
4)	Students' learning experience outside	✓					
d)	classroom	Y					
2)	Your overall view on students' learning	 					
e)	effectiveness	<u> </u>					
Pe	rsonal and Social Development				1		
f)	Students' self-esteem		✓				
g)	Students' self-management skills		✓				
h)	Students' social skills		✓				
i)	Students' interpersonal skills		✓				
j)	Students' cooperativeness with others		✓				
k)	Students' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
1)	Students' outlook on life		✓				
m)	Your overall view on students'		 				
	personal and social development		·				
Co	ommunity Involvement	I	I I		1		1
n)							
	extracurricular and voluntary activities		Y				
0)	Students' sense of belonging		1				
0)	Students' understanding on the		,				
p)	community on the		✓				
q)	Your overall view on students'						
10			✓				
	community involvement		•				

D. Comments on the project conducted

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project
(You may tick more than one box)

unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);
difficult to decide on the 10% discretionary quota;
eligible students unwilling to join the programmes;
the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
Others (Please specify):

tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
Others (Please specify):
Oo you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)